

New EMA guideline on method validation and how it translates into best practice for Ligand Binding Assays

Daniela Stoellner

Biologics Safety & Disposition, PK-PD Bioanalytics




EBF 4th Open Symposium, Barcelona, 16-18 Nov 2011


General comments on new EMA guideline


- EMA acknowledged that LBA differ significantly from chromatographic methods, therefore separate recommendations are provided → separate chapter 7
 - Reference standard
 - Specificity
 - Selectivity
 - Calibration curve
 - Precision and accuracy
 - Dilution linearity and parallelism

Reference standard

EMA

1. Well-characterized and documented, e.g. CoA and origin 
2. Strongly recommends to use same batch for C/QC prep as used for non-clinical and clinical studies 
3. Change of batch: analytical characterisation and bioanalytical evaluation required to ensure performance characteristics of method not altered 

 Ad 2) in general, yes we do, at least for non-clinical studies, but often difficult for long clinical studies

 Change of batch (including critical reagents):
Comparison of new reagent versus old: precision and accuracy run with 2 calibration curves and 2 full sets of 5 QCs on the same plate

Specificity

related to concept of cross-reactivity with structurally “related compounds”

„Endogenous compounds“ → endogenous counterparts??

EMA

1. Timing: Evaluation may be conducted once „related molecules“ are available (can be after original validation)
2. **Add increasing conc of the structurally related molecule or the drugs expected to be concomitantly administered at LLOQ and ULOQ**
3. Bias \pm 25% from nominal value


➔ In general, cross-reactivity / interference is tested during assay development to
→ Select right tools and assay format

➔ Different molar ratios of interfering protein to analyte must be tested
Bias \pm 20% from nominal value

Selectivity

ability to measure the analyte in presence of unrelated compounds in matrix

EMA

1. \geq spiking at least 10 sources of sample matrix at or near LLOQ ✓
2. Should include **lipemic and haemolysed** samples 
3. Strongly recommends to include sources from disease population
4. It may be prudent to evaluate selectivity at higher analyte conc ✓
5. If interference is conc dependent \rightarrow determine the *minimum* concentration where interference occurs. It may be necessary to adjust the lower level of quantification accordingly, before assay validation
6. Bias \pm 20% (25% at the LLOQ) for at least 80% of the matrices ✓

Ongoing discussion: to include also lipemic and haemolysed samples

Calibration curve

Response function generally non linear and often sigmoidal







EMA

1. A minimum of 6 CS, at least in duplicate ✓
2. Spaced evenly on a logarithmic scale within anticipated range ✓
3. Anchor points allowed to facilitate curve fitting
4. Minimum of six runs during validation → results to be reported in a table to establish overall robustness of the regression model ✓
5. Bias $\pm 20\%$ ($\pm 25\%$ at LLOQ and ULOQ) for at least 75 % of the CS. No acceptance criteria on anchor points ✓
6. CS may be prepared in surrogate matrix ✓

➔ CS at LLOQ and ULOQ included → have to pass during precision-accuracy runs

Accuracy & precision

EMA

1. QC samples should be frozen 
2. 5 QC samples at LLOQ, < 3 times LLOQ, mid, high, ULOQ 
3. Assess accuracy, precision and **total error** 
4. At least six independent runs over several days 
5. Bias $\pm 20\%$ ($\pm 25\%$ at LLOQ/ULOQ); Precision: $< 20\%$ ($< 25\%$ at LLOQ/ULOQ); **Total error: 30% (40% at LLOQ/ULOQ)**  



Frozen QC:

- Which storage temperature?
- Prepared in bulk for all 6 runs? OR prepared for each run?
- Systematic error?



Total error: what is the added value?

Dilution linearity & parallelism

to check if conc of analyte > ULOQ can be accurately measured and to detect hook effect

EMA

1. QC samples > ULOQ to be diluted in blank matrix ✓
2. Back-calc conc: $\pm 20\%$ of nominal conc
Precision across all dilutions < 20% ✓
3. Parallelism between standard curve and serially diluted samples to be assessed to detect matrix effect and **differing affinities for metabolites**
4. Timing: as soon as study samples become available ✓
5. Study sample (close to C_{max}) to be diluted to at least three conc with blank matrix ✓

➔ We allow also dilution in buffer if acceptance criteria are met.

Acknowledgements

- All colleagues in BxSD